METHOD OF CHARACTERIZING IMPLANTATION OF SPECIES IN A SUBSTRATE

Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This application is a continuation of International application PCT/FR02/03281 filed September 26, 2002, the entire content of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference thereto.

Background

The present invention relates to operations for characterizing the processing to which a substrate of material is subjected. More precisely, the invention relates to a method for characterizing dosage in a step of implanting one or more atomic species in a substrate. The substrate is generally a semiconductor material such as silicon.

The term "species" or "atomic species" as used herein means any type of ion or atom that can be implanted into a substrate. As explained below, in the most preferred application of the invention, the species is H^+ ions and/or hydrogen atoms H.

By way of example, one way of implanting species (ions or atoms) into a material substrate is to expose the surface of the substrate to bombardment by the species. As a function of the energy associated with the bombardment, and as a function of the nature of the species being implanted, the atomic species becomes implanted in the mass of the substrate with a distribution that presents a well-marked maximum at a given depth. This establishes a concentration maximum for the implanted species at a given depth in the substrate.

For anyone given species, it is possible to vary this implantation step by controlling implantation energy. An example of a method that implants atomic species in an implementation step is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,374,564, where the implantation step is used for fabricating a thin film or layer of a semiconductor material. One such method according to the teaching of that patent is known as the SMART-CUT® method. In that method, the implantation step is intended to define a plane of weakness in a substrate typically made of a semiconductor material such as a silicon single crystal. A subsequent step in the method is a cleaving step for at least partially fracturing the plane of weakness as defined by the layer of implanted species.

Thus, in SMARTCUT® type methods, the implantation step defines the plane of weakness. Depending upon the implantation characteristics and in particular the

implantation dose, cleavage can be achieved more or less easily. In addition, the implantation determines to some extent the roughness of the wafer surface after cleavage.

It has thus been observed in the context of the SMARTCUT® method, that it would be desirable to be able to characterize the dose of species implanted in a material substrate. This need also applies to implanting species in other contexts. In general, it would thus be desirable to be able to characterize two important parameters of implantation, namely:

- the dose of species implanted in the substrate; and
- the uniformity of implantation in the substrate, at different points over the surface of said substrate.

Methods and apparatuses are known which provide at least partial responses to this need. One method is known which consists in performing *in situ* measurements, *i.e.* measurements in real time during implantation, of the dose of species being implanted. For example, US Patent No. 4,743,767 discloses means for measuring an electric current that is representative of implantation. The method implemented in that patent is based on performing an electrical measurement on a beam of charged particles with which it is desired to implant substrates.

A first drawback of that method is that it does not make it possible to measure electrically neutral species that might be implanted in substrates. Unfortunately, even when implanting species that are initially charged (e.g., H^+ ions), at least some of the species can come into collision with residual elements present in the implantation chamber (atoms and/or molecules of oxygen or nitrogen, for example) and lose their electric charge. Such species that have become electrically neutral can nevertheless conserve sufficient energy to become implanted in the substrate, and the above-mentioned method does not enable them to be taken into account.

Similarly, that method does not make it possible to take account in representative manner of species in which the electric charge is transformed in some way. This applies for example to H_2^+ ions which, having a ratio of mass divided by electric charge double that of an H^+ ion, are each counted as being a single ion by such a method, whereas the actual dose that is implanted is twice that. In addition, such a method does not enable uniformity of implantation to be characterized.

There also exist *in situ* measurement methods which propose solutions to some of the above-mentioned drawbacks. For example, US Patent No. 4,751,393 describes a method enabling point measurements to be interpolated in order to provide at least partial information concerning uniformity of implantation. Furthermore, US Patent No. 5,998,798

point measurements, which would be tedious and expensive. In addition, the thickness of the substrate layer that can be characterized in that way remains limited. Finally, the precision of measurements obtained by that type of method is no better than to within 5%, which is not sufficient in certain applications.

Finally, a fourth *ex situ* method of measurement consists in using an energy beam to etch the surface of an implanted substrate and then analyzing the substrate as etched in depth. One such method is known as secondary ion mass spectrometry.

A first drawback of that type of method is that it too is poorly adapted to characterizing uniformity of implantation. In addition, that method is very expensive to implement.

It can thus be seen that although various methods do indeed exist making it possible, to some extent, to characterize the dose of species that have been implanted or the uniformity of implantation, there nevertheless remains a need for a method that is fast and simple and that makes it possible characterize both aspects simultaneously, while avoiding the drawbacks mentioned above.

It is also specified that it has already been possible to show up the influence of various parameters of an implantation step on the structure of an implanted substrate. In this regard, there exists an article by L.J. Huang et al., "Model for blistering of hydrogen implanted silicon and its application to silicon-on-quartz", Electrochemical Society Proceedings, Processing of 8th International Symposium on Semiconductor Silicon {Vol. 98-1, May 4-8, 1998, pp. 1373-1384}. However, that article does no more than observe a resultant effect on the substrate after implantation as a function of various different implantation parameters. Under no circumstances does that article suggest the converse, *i.e.*, making use of an observation of said resultant effect to characterize the implanted dose.

It should also be observed that when it comes to characterizing implantation dose, that article discloses a method of observing the implanted substrate that is relatively expensive to implement (transmission electron microscope (TEM) type observation seeking to provide a section image through the depth of the substrate).

Other documents are also known which characterize to some extent the influence of an implantation dose on the characteristic of the implanted substrate. By way of example, mention can be made of an article by Shiettekatte et al. "Dose and implantation temperature influence extended defects nucleation in c-Si", Nuclear instruments and methods in physics research, section B: beam interactions with materials and atoms, North-Holland Publishing Company, Vol. 164-165, April 2000 (2000-04), pp. 425-430. However, in that case also,

the article does no more than observed that effects exist that are the result of variations in various implantation parameters, and it does not suggest any way of making use of such observations for characterizing implantation parameters themselves. In addition, that method is likewise relatively expensive, being of the TEM type, and it is used to observe the implanted substrate: in the context of that article, it is "extended defects" buried in the thickness of a substrate that are observed. Finally, it should be observed that that article sets out to characterize the influence of implantation temperature, and is not in any way focused on the influence of implantation dose.

Mention is also made of an article by Da Silva et al., "The effects of implantation temperature on He bubble formation in silicon", Nuclear instrument and methods in physics research, section B: beam interactions with materials and atoms, North-Holland Publishing' Company, Amsterdam, NL, Vol. 175-177, April 2001 (2001-04), pp. 335-339. In that article also, there is no suggestion of making use of the observations performed to characterize implantation dose. Furthermore, the methods used for observing the in-depth structure of the implanted substrate are expensive, being of the TEM or the RBS type, and the article focuses solely on the influence of implantation temperature and does not consider aspects associated with dose. It should also be observed that the annealing to which the substrate is subjected in the context of that article is of the rapid thermal annealing (RTA) type, whereas, as explained below in the context of the present invention, it is desirable to avoid annealing temperatures that are too high.

It can thus be seen that the documents mentioned above seeking to observe the influence of various characteristics of an implantation step on an implanted substrate do not satisfy the above-mentioned need. The present invention now provide improvements over known methods in this area.

Summary of the Invention

The invention relates to a method for characterizing a dose or dosage of implanted atomic species in a substrate. The method comprises annealing the substrate after implantation of the atomic species, with the anneal conducted at a temperature and for a time sufficient to cause the implanted atomic species to from blisters in a surface region of the substrate but below that which would cause a majority or at least a significant amount of the blisters to burst; imaging the surface region of the substrate to obtain a surface image; and processing the surface image to characterize the implant dose of the atomic species. This characterization can be performed on a qualitative or quantitative basis, as desired.

For example, the density and size of the blisters may be analyzed or calculated. In an embodiment, the surface image is obtained by a charge coupled device and the implant dose is characterized by a density parameter. In another embodiment, the blister area may be calculated. These calculations allow the implantation dose to be calibrated, prior to annealing, to obtain a desired density or size of blisters to be obtained in the substrate.

The dose of implanted atomic species may be calculated from blister density parameters or by comparing the processed surface image to images of known implanted doses of atomic species. Also, compensation factors may be established for implantation dose measurements by comparison of the processed image to reference implantation data. The compensation factor may be applied to an implanter to obtain improvements in subsequent implanted doses. Also, the compensation factor may also be determined by balancing implantation operations performed by different implanters that are used to implant the atomic species.

On the qualitative side, the spatial distribution of the blisters from the processed image may be analyzed to determine uniformity of implantation of the atomic species. Different blister measurements can be performed on different locations on the substrate so as to obtain a spatial distribution of the dose over the surface of the substrate. Furthermore, such measurements can be made on a plurality of substrates which have been annealed under the same conditions but with different orientations in order to determine local temperature effects. The processed image may also be observed to characterize the uniformity or thickness of the implanted dose of atomic species. Such uniformity may be determined by establishing regions of the substrate that have received a dose of atomic species per unit area that differ from a mean dose of atomic species that is received by the substrate.

Preferably, the atomic species that is implanted comprises hydrogen or helium and the implantation is conducted at a dose of greater than 10^{16} atoms per square centimeter, the substrate is a semiconductor material such as silicon and the annealing is conducted for a time of between about 5 and 20 minutes at a temperature of between 300 and 550°C.

Brief Description of the Drawing Figures

Other aspects, objects, and advantages of the invention appear better on reading the following description of an embodiment of the invention, given with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

• an annealing step for causing the species to blister in the implanted substrate;

• a step of acquiring an image of the surface of the substrate; and

• a step of processing the image, with the implantation dose characteristics being deduced from the image processing step.

The annealing step is performed at a temperature and for a duration that are controlled so as to cause hydrogen blisters to form in the surface region of the substrate. The term "blister" is used in this specification, but some authors use the equivalent term "bubble" instead.

The effect of such annealing on an implanted substrate is illustrated by Figure 1 in which the four successive views show how the surface appearance of an implanted substrate (lA) varies, with blisters appearing at the surface thereof during annealing (IB and lC in succession as annealing takes place), all the way to a state in which the "blistering" phenomenon is practically completed (10). The annealing whose effects are shown in Figure 1 was performed at a temperature of 440°C but this example temperature is not limiting. It merely illustrates a value which corresponds to a good compromise in the preferred application of the invention. Generally, temperatures of between 300 and 550°C can be used.

This annealing temperature needs to be defined so as simultaneously:

• to be high enough to encourage blistering which requires a certain heat budget (said budget depending essentially on the temperature and the duration of annealing); and

• to avoid exceeding an upper limit value beyond which the heat budget becomes excessive, causing certain blisters that are formed during blistering to burst, which prevents good characterization being performed.

By controlling the temperature and duration parameters of annealing, it is possible to cause the implanted hydrogen to blister to a greater or lesser extent (while ensuring that the blisters do not burst). While it is most preferred that no blisters burst prior to processing the image, at a minimum a majority of the blisters should not burst. As long as the number of burst blisters is below that which would significantly interference with the processing of the image, some burst blisters can be tolerated. Of course, the grater then amount of blisters that burst, the greater the possibility that portions of the surface can be detached, cleaved or removed.

Figure 2 shows how a parameter representative of the blister density per unit area of substrate (plotted up the ordinate) varies as a function of annealing durati6n (plotted along the abscissa) with annealing taking place .at a determined temperature.

Specifically, this figure relates to the same annealing as the annealing whose effects are shown in Figure 1. This density parameter is obtained by processing an image of the surface of the substrate after annealing, with the image being acquired by means such as a charge coupled device (CCD) sensor. This parameter is typically associated with the number of pixels corresponding to blister area in the field of view of a microscope.

The *density* parameter is associated in particular with the following factors:

- the discrimination threshold used in the processing of the microscope image;
- the size and the definition of the image;
- the duration and the temperature of annealing; and
- the dose of hydrogen that was actually implanted in the substrate.

For those parameters that can be controlled (*i.e.*, all of them apart from the implanted dose), operating values are defined and the resulting density value is used to determine the implanted dose.

To calculate the dose that has actually been implanted, calibration is performed initially in order to establish a relationship between the blister density parameter and the real dose (using a reference implanter and under reference conditions).

This calibration is performed by subjecting a substrate that has previously been implanted with a known dose to annealing in accordance with the invention, and then characterizing its blister area.

As described below in detail with reference to Figure 4, it is also possible to analyze the spatial distribution of blister density in order to characterize the uniformity of implantation.

Returning to the definition of those parameters which can be controlled, an important parameter is annealing duration. Figure 2 shows that:

- for short durations of annealing (less than 5 minutes in the figure), the blistering effect does not develop;
- there exists a range of intermediate durations (in the range 5 minutes to 15 minutes in the figure) over which blistering develops, increasing with time; and
- beyond a certain duration of annealing (about 15 minutes to 20 minutes in the figure), blistering is observed to "saturate" and does not progress any further.

In the context of the invention, it is preferable to select the duration of annealing (as a function of its temperature, of course) in such a manner that blistering is well developed, and has reached a stage immediately preceding saturation (thus about 15 minutes in the example of Figure 2).

Firstly it is necessary to avoid annealing that is too short:

• it is important to have blistering" that is well developed so as to enable usable measurements to be made - and this requires a minimum duration as can be seen from Figure 2; and also

• too short a duration of annealing exposes the substrate to local temperature effects in an annealing oven (hot/cold points ...) and these effects can be overcome by prolonging annealing.

While secondly it is nevertheless desirable to avoid prolonging annealing beyond the time required for saturation:

• this is due to the fact that prolonging annealing excessively runs the risk of encouraging some of the blisters formed at the surface of the substrate to burst.

In addition, an implanted substrate surface having some blisters that have burst is difficult to characterize because of discriminating visually between blisters that have burst, blisters that have not burst, and the background of the substrate.

A well-adapted annealing duration is thus a duration which is long enough to guarantee that blistering is well developed but has not gone beyond the saturation duration.

In a particular application of the invention, use is made of hydrogen dose measurements performed by processing an image of the substrate that has been annealed in order to determine compensation factors that need to be applied to various implanters.

When performing implantation on an industrial scale, it can be necessary to use a plurality of different implanters (even if they are all of the same design) for implanting substrates in parallel.

It is desirable to be able to control the operation of the various implanters on the basis of a single reference value that is given to each of them.

Unfortunately, two different implanters receiving the same reference value (*i.e.*, both being instructed to implant the same doses), are likely to implant different doses in fact, even if they are both designed in the same way. Typically, differences that may be about 5% are to be observed. It is therefore helpful to select a reference implanter and to associate a compensation factor with each other implanter, thus enabling the reference value to be adapted individually to each implanter by multiplying the common reference value by the compensation factor so that of the implanters actually implant the same doses. The compensation factor is thus close to unity - and typically it may drop to a value that is as low as about 0.9. This operation of defining a compensation factor may be referred to as "balancing".

To compensate for possible lack of temperature uniformity in the annealing oven, it is possible to cause the substrate to revolve during annealing. It is also possible to perform annealing on a plurality of identical substrates that have been implanted under the same conditions and in the same implanter (or by implanters that have been suitably compensated relative to one another, see above). Under such circumstances, where annealing is performed on a plurality of identical substrates, each substrate is given a different orientation in the annealing oven so as to overcome local temperature effects.

For example, in order to overcome the ortho-radial effect shown up by Figure 4, the substrates should be disposed with angular orientations that are regularly distributed over the range 0° to 360°. The measurements performed on identical substrates that have been oriented differently in the annealing oven are then averaged. In general, when observing an individual measurement of spatial distribution of dose that reveals a local anomaly, it is appropriate to determine whether the anomaly is due to the annealing by suitably distributing identical substrates in a given oven so as to overcome local temperature effects, and then by averaging the measurements over the substrates. Once such techniques have been applied to obviate local effects in annealing ovens, it is possible to obtain an overall view of doses as implanted over the area of a substrate and thus to characterize uniformity of implantation.

The invention thus provides a method that is simple and inexpensive for characterizing implantation (annealing time, image acquisition, plus analysis by image processing together requiring less than 2 hours). Also, the invention does not require specialist equipment and it can be applied without specific adaptations on any type of implanted substrate.